



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	IO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/023,018		12/17/2001	Wah Yiu Kwong	042390P11691	7243	
8791	759	0 10/18/2005		EXAMINER		
		KOLOFF TAYLOR RE BOULEVARD	JAMAL, AL	JAMAL, ALEXANDER		
SEVENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS AN	LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2643		
				DATE MAILED: 10/18/200	DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/023,018	KWONG ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Alexander Jamal	2643		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			•		
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 At</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are withdrawn fro Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-27</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	om consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the ld drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachmen	t(s)				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Based upon the submitted amendment (8-25-2005), the examiner notes that claims
 1,12,21 have been amended. Examiner further notes that applicant has stated that claims
 4,15 are cancelled, but in the submitted amended claim-set, claim 14 has been cancelled and claim 15 remains. Examiner offers a rejection for both previous claim 14 and claim 15 and requests applicant to clarify which claim is cancelled.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 24 objected to because of the following informalities:

Line 4, 'coding' should be changed to 'coding element'

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/023,018 Page 3

Art Unit: 2643

4. Claim 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claim discloses a method where the antenna is mounted on the top layer of a display, and also comprises embedding the antenna under the display. There is no single disclosed embodiment of the invention with an antenna mounted above and below the display surface.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1,12,5,16,10,11,19,20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Katsura (6628962).

As per **claim 1**, Katsura discloses a portable computing device that comprises a housing (Fig. 5), a display, and an antenna 14 mounted on the top layer of the display (Col 7 lines 4-25).

As per claim 12, claim rejected as a method performed by the claim 1 rejection.

Art Unit: 2643

As per claim 5,16, the device is a wireless communication terminal. It inherently comprises a transmit and receive amplifier for the purpose of conditioning the received/transmitted wireless signal (Col 5 lines 15-20).

As per claims 10,11,19,20, Katsura discloses that the device is a wireless data/voice device (Col 1 lines 1-35). This includes a PDA or PC-tablet.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2,3,13,14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsura (6628962) as applied to claims 1,12 above, and further in view of Kuroe et al. (6028748).

As per claims 2,3,13,14, Katsura discloses claims 1 and 12, and that the antennas are microstrip antennas formed by printing or deposition (Col 3 lines 40-50), but does not specify the exact method by which they are coupled to the display.

Kuroe discloses that a microstrip line is produced by sputter etching on a substrate that may be made of glass (Col 10 line 44 to Col 11 line12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application that the well-known procedure of sputter etching could be used to put a stripline on a substrate.

Application/Control Number: 10/023,018 Page 5

Art Unit: 2643

9. Claims 6,7,17,18, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsura (6628962) as applied to claims 1,12,5,16, above, and further in view of Carson et al. (5705855).

As per claim 6,17, Katsura discloses applicant's claims 1,12,5,16, but does not specify that the RF circuitry is mounted to the display

Carson discloses a communications device with a display (ABSTRACT) (Col 3 lines 40-60). Carson further discloses any conventional IC may be mounted to the under side of the LCD display on the glass substrate (Col 7 lines 14-25) with a chip-on-glass procedure. Carson teaches that this procedure can help in the miniaturization of communication devices (Col 1 line 65 to Col 2 line 10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application that any of the RF radio IC chips of Katsura could be mounted on the glass substrate of the LCD for the advantage of providing greater flexibility in design and miniaturization.

As per claim 7,18, the chip is mounted using glass on chip technology (Carson: Col 7 lines 14-25).

10. Claims 8,9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsura (6628962) and Narayanaswamy et al. (5905467).

Art Unit: 2643

As per claims 8,9, Katsura discloses a wireless communication device as per claim 1. However, Katsura does not disclose that the mounted antenna is a center-fed or end-fed dipole antenna.

Narayanaswamy discloses a wireless communication device that may use (Col 3 lines 20-30) any of the well known types of antennas such as dipole antennas (including both end and center fed). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application that any well known antenna structure could be utilized for the advantage of providing the optimal antenna shape for the particular environment the antenna is used in.

11. Claim 21,26,27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsura (6628962), and further in view of Carson et al. (5705855).

As per claim 21, Katsura discloses a device as per the claim 1 rejection, but does not specify that the RF (wireless) circuitry is mounted to the display.

Carson discloses a communications device with a display (ABSTRACT) (Col 3 lines 40-60). Carson further discloses any conventional IC may be mounted to the under side of the LCD display on the glass substrate (Col 7 lines 14-25) with a chip-on-glass procedure. Carson teaches that this procedure can help in the miniaturization of communication devices (Col 1 line 65 to Col 2 line 10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application that any of the RF radio IC

Art Unit: 2643

chips of Katsura could be mounted on the glass substrate of the display for the advantage of providing greater flexibility in design and miniaturization.

As per claims 26,27, Katsura discloses that the device is a wireless data/voice device (Col 1 lines 1-35). This includes a PDA or PC-tablet.

12. Claims 22-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsura (6628962) and Carson (5705855) as applied to claim 21, and further in view of Zuckerman (5802463).

As per claim 22, Katsura in view of Carson discloses claim 21. However they do not specify the details of the wireless interface circuitry.

Zuckerman discloses an RF transceiver with a network controller (comprised of parts of units 15 and 16 in Fig. 1) used to interface the transceiver with the network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application that the wireless systems would require network controllers for the purpose of interfacing with their respective networks.

As per claim 23, Zuckerman discloses a MAC dsp coupled to a baseband dsp (ABSTRACT).

As per claim 24, Zuckerman discloses a baseband state machine, a coding element and a modulation element in Fig. 3.

Art Unit: 2643

As per claim 25, a digital cell phone inherently requires an A/D and D/A in the signal paths for the purpose of providing the interface between the analog medium (free space) and the digital processing stages (Fig. 3).

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/023,018

Art Unit: 2643

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 571-272-7498. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications and 571-273-8300 for After Final communications.

AJ October 3, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600